## WINE TAX

## **Revenue Description**

Section 16-1-411, MCA, directs the Department of Revenue to collect a tax of 27 cents on each liter of table wine and 3.7 cents on each liter of hard cider imported by a distributor or the department. Revenues from the hard cider tax are insignificant (less than 0.08% of total collections in FY 2004) and thus are treated as wine tax revenues in the following analysis.

Wine tax revenues are distributed 69% to the state general fund and 31% to the Department of Public Health and Human Services. About 2% of the general fund wine tax revenue is allocated to tribes that have revenue sharing agreements with the state. Currently this includes the tribes of the Blackfeet, Fort Peck, and Fort Belknap Reservations.

## **Historical and Projected Revenue**



Table 1 shows historical and projected general fund revenue from the wine tax for FY 1994 through FY 2007.

The forecast projects wine tax revenue to increase approximately 4.7% annually in FY 2005 through FY 2007. FY 2002 wine tax revenue increased 19.27%. This large

increase was due to HB 124, passed in the 2001 session, which increased the general fund share of wine tax revenue from 59% to 69%.

## Forecast Methodology and Projection Calculation

The general fund share of the wine tax is prepared in three steps:

- 1. Estimate per capita consumption of wine for FY 2005 through FY 2007 using per capita consumption from FY 1994 through FY 2004.
- 2. Multiply the estimates of per capita consumption by population and the tax rate (\$0.27/liter) to obtain estimates of total collections through FY 2007.
- 3. Determine the wine tax allocation to the general fund.

Table 2 shows actual total wine tax collections, the tax rate, state population age 20 and older, per capita consumption, and percent change in per capita consumption for FY 1989 through FY 2004.

Table 2 Per Capita Consumption of Wine (in liters)											
Fiscal Year	Total Collections	/	Tax	/	Population Age 20 and Over	_ =	Per Capita Consumption	Percent Change			
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2001 2002	\$1,453,980 \$1,404,764 \$1,346,598 \$1,433,011 \$1,360,770 \$1,289,016 \$1,360,600 \$1,440,310 \$1,503,390 \$1,600,500 \$1,661,112 \$1,767,654 \$1,786,403 \$1,815,798 \$1,976,257		\$ 0.27 \$ 0.27	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	553,683 559,846 571,050 585,317 599,363 612,266 622,454 628,525 632,534 637,392 643,296 652,506 661,618 670,416 678,104		9.726 9.293 8.734 9.068 8.409 7.797 8.096 8.487 8.803 9.300 9.564 10.033 10.000 10.031 10.794	-7.70% -4.45% -6.02% 3.82% -7.27% -7.27% 3.83% 4.84% 3.72% 5.65% 2.83% 4.91% -0.33% 0.31% 7.60%			
2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	\$2,104,165	/	\$ 0.27 \$ 0.27	/	684,580	=	11.384 11.826 12.285 12.762	5.47% 3.88% 3.88% 3.88%			

The annual growth rate in per capita consumption for FY 2005 through FY 2007 is projected at 3.88%, which is the average annual growth rate from FY 1995 through FY 2004.

As shown in Table 3, forecasts for total wine tax collections are the product of estimated adult population, per capita consumption and the tax rate of \$0.27 per liter.

Table 3 Projected Wine Tax Collections FY 2005 through FY 2007										
Fiscal Year	Population Age 20 and		Per Capita Consumption		Tax		Total Collections			
F 2005 F 2006 F 2007	690,604 696,153 701,425	X X X	11.83 12.28 12.76	X X X	\$0.27 \$0.27 \$0.27	= = =	\$2,205,090 \$2,309,102 \$2,416,912			

Table 4 shows the general fund allocation of projected wine tax revenues. The general fund receives 69% of the total revenue less revenue sharing agreement payments to the tribes. Tribal payments averaged 2% of general fund wine tax collections from FY 2000 through FY 2004. This percentage is used to estimate tribal payments for FY 2005 through FY 2007.

Table 4   Calculation of General Fund Wine Tax Allocation   FY 2005 through FY 2007										
Fiscal Year	Total Collections		General Fund Allocation Percent Dollars			-	Tribal Refunds		General	
2005 2006 2007	\$2,205,090 \$2,309,102 \$2,416,912	X X X	69% 69% 69%	= = =	\$1,521,512 \$1,593,281 \$1,667,669	- - -	\$30,430 \$31,866 \$33,353	= = =	\$1,491,082 \$1,561,415 \$1,634,316	